

5.	The Chief Conservator of Forests, Haryana	..	Member
6.	The Director, Town and Country Planning, Haryana	..	Do
7.	The Director, Tourism, Haryana	..	Do
8.	The Deputy Commissioner, Ambala	..	Do
9.	The Project Officer, Panchkula	..	Do

2. The powers and functions of the Review Board and other terms and condition shall be the same as contained in Haryana Government Notification No. 12997-VDP-71/5408, dated 3rd December, 1971.

Dated the 1st July, 1975

A. BANERJEE,  
Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Town and Country Planning Department.

### HARYANA STATE LOTTERIES

The 29th July, 1975

No. DOL/HR/75/14844.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to select the following persons as Judges for the supervision of the 68th Draw to be held on Wednesday, the 30th July, 1975 :—

1. Mrs. Usha Johar,  
w/o Shri V. P. Johar, IAS,  
Financial Commissioner and  
Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Agriculture, Dairy Development,  
Co-operation, Fisheries, Animal  
Husbandry, Food & Supplies, Forest  
Wild Life Preservation Departments,  
Chandigarh.
2. Shri M. Isa Dass, IAS,  
Managing Director,  
Haryana Tanneries Ltd.,  
Chandigarh.
3. Shri M. L. Bhanot, IPS,  
Senior Superintendent of Police,  
U. T. Administration,  
Chandigarh.
4. Shri D. Saroop, I. A. & A. S.,  
Deputy Accountant General, Haryana,  
Chandigarh.
5. Shri R. K. Nair,  
Chief Engineer (Retd.),  
House No. 30, Sector 9,  
Chandigarh.

H. K. JAIN, IAS,  
Director of Lotteries and Deputy Secretary.

### LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 17th July, 1975

No. 6 665-4Lab-75/22281.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Shri Nathu Mal Jain, Factory Manager, T. I. T., Bhiwani, Arbitrator, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Bhiwani Textile Mills, Bhiwani.

464

BEFORE SHRI NATHU MAL JAIN, FACTORY MANAGER, T. I. T., BHIWANI

ARBITRATOR

IN THE MATTER OF INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE BETWEEN THE WORKMEN AND MANAGEMENT  
OF M/S BHIWANI TEXTILE MILLS, BHIWANI. THE WORKMEN WERE REPRESENTED BY  
TEXTILE MAZDOOR SANGH, 85, LABOUR COLONY, BHIWANI.

Present :—

Shri Rohtash Kumar Sharma, on behalf of the workmen.

Shri Bhagirath Sharma on behalf of the management.

AWARD

The management of Bhiwani Textile Mills, Bhiwani and their workmen represented by Textile Mazdoor Sangh, 85-Labour Colony, Bhiwani entered into arbitration agreement dated 27th December, 1974 under section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 agreeing to refer the demands mentioned in the demand notice dated 7th July, 1974 to the arbitration of Shri Nathumal Jain, Factory Manager, T. I. T., Bhiwani. The said agreement was published in Haryana Government Gazette (Extra), dated March 6, 1975 at page 333 to 335,—*vide* Haryana Government Notification No. ID/HSR/18-M-75/12099, dated 28th February, 1975. A copy of the above said agreement dated 27th December, 1974 along with demand notice dated 7th July, 1974 was sent to the undersigned,—*vide* letter No. ID/HSR/18-M-75/12100-5, dated 28th February, 1975 by the Deputy Secretary, Labour, Haryana Government, Chandigarh.

2. The specific matters in dispute were given in the demand notice dated 7th July, 1974 which contained as many as 31 demands.

3. During the course of the arbitration proceedings, the management was represented by Shri Bhagirath Sharma and workmen by Shri Rohtas Kumar Sharma, President, Textile Mazdoor Sangh, 85, Labour Colony, Bhiwani.

4. The workmen filed their claim statement dated 28th March, 1975 and the management filed the written statement on 14th April, 1975. On the day individual demands were taken up for discussion, and to settle the procedure and place of hearing of the case. It was decided that the case may be taken up in my office in T. I. T. and for evidence regarding record of the mills be taken up in B. T. M.

The demands were also discussed and during those discussions the representative of the workmen gave up some of the demands.

5. The case was fixed for evidence of the workmen. The workmen produce as many as 16 workmen as witnesses. In addition to this, Shri Rohtas Kumar gave his own statement on 21st May, 1975 and filed Exhibit WW 17/1 to 134 documents i.e., charge sheets, reply and other papers with respect to all the individual demands. He also produced S. No. 1A to 115A, 22A/2 33A/2 and 56A/2 regarding suspension of the workmen. The workmen closed their evidence on 21th May, 1975 except to produce a peon book to prove the delivery of some documents. The case was then adjourned to 27th May, 1975 for the production of Peon Book and evidence of the management.

6. In the arbitration agreement, the time of 3 months was given to submit the award. It was not practicable to finish the entire evidence on behalf of both, the workmen and management on 27th May, 1974. The parties agreed to extend the period for one month. The copies of the said agreement dated 27th May, 1975 were sent to the Government with a request to extend the period which was to expire on 6th June, 1975.

7. The management examined 10 witnesses and produced various documents which were marked as Exhibits M. W. 2-1, M. W. 4/1 to 15 and 9A, Exhibit P. W. 5-1 to 2, Exhibit M. W. 7-1 to 8, M. W. 8/1 to 4 and M. W. 10/1 to 60.

8. The management closed their evidence on 21st May, 1975 except with regard to demands No. 26 and 28 for which the parties wanted time for mutual settlement. The case was adjourned to 24th June, 1975. Then two demands were mutually settled and the parties made their statement on 24th June, 1975 before me. The case was fixed for hearing the argument on 28th June, 1975. The arguments were heard on 28th June, 1975. I give my findings on the demands as under :—

*Demand No. 1.*—is regarding lay off compensation to four workmen on the dates mentioned against their names. On 14th April, 1975 Shri Rohtas Kumar Sharma representative of the workmen made a statement that he does not press the claim of Shri Kundan Lal and Mahabir. On the date of agreement i.e., 28th June, 1975, he conceded that as Shri Mahabir has not put in 240 days work during the year preceding the lay off, he is not entitled to lay off compensation for the days mentioned against his name. Therefore, the claim of compensation for lay off to Sarvshri Kundan, Mahabir and Mohmad is dismissed.

Shri Joti Parshad shall be paid lay off compensation for 19th January, 1973, and I award accordingly.

*Demand No. 2* is regarding designation of six workmen mentioned in the demand notice as per the work they were doing and the designation to be mentioned on their attendance card and in the muster roll. The workmen produced Ex. WW 17/1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 which were written to the management to designate the workmen accordingly to the work they were doing.

Shri Tulsi Dass Kabra, MW 4 has admitted that Shri Hazari's name is written alongwith helper and not with weavers. He has worked all the nine days as helper in March, 1975 and all the 20 days as helper in April, 1975, and is working as badli helper. In cross-examination Shri Birjoo Singh, MW 6, has admitted that for last one year Shri Hazari is working a badli Auto helper. Shri Prem Parkash Bhargao, MW 5, stated that Sarvashri Sultan, Jagdish and Birmanand are workers in the folding department. In cross-examination he admitted that they are doing the work of sorting out Badber, i. e. production of individual weaver in a particular piece of cloth produced by them. They are called Badber workers.

During the argument Shri Bhagirath Sharma said that the promotion is the management function, and to give designation as demanded is a demand for promotion. The demand is also not an Industrial Dispute. However he conceded to designate Shri Bans Lal as Below Room jobber.

I do not agree that to designated a worker according to the work he is doing is a case of promotion and is not a industrial dispute. There fore, I hold that Shri Bans Lal be designated as below room jobber. Sarvashri Barma Nand, Sultan and Jagdish be designated as badber folders, and Sarvashri Sheo Karan and Hazari be designated as weaving helpers. Their designation be mentioned on their attendance card and in the muster roll.

*Demand No. 3* is regarding leave on the basis of E.S.I. and Medical Certificate and demanded that Shri Jai Narain be marked leave on the basis of Medical Certificate.

On 14th April, 1975, Shri Rohtas Kumar Sharma, representative of workmen, admitted that the matter of leave is governed under the Certified Standing Orders and any modification in the standing orders is the function of Certifying Officer under the Standing Orders Act. However he claimed leave to be allowed to Jai Narain, worker of the Reeling Department, on the basis of Medical Certificate from 17th January, 1973 to 23rd January, 1973. He argued that a letter dated 1st February, 1973, Ex. WW 17/7 was written to the management to grant him leave from 16th January to 23rd January, 1973, as per E.S.I. Information of sickness.

The management has produced Ex. MW 10/5 letter, dated 10th March, 1973, in reply to letter, dated 1st February, 1973, Ex. WW 17/7, stating that Sarvashri Jainarain and Amar Singh remained absent. They did not submit any medical certificate, nor sent any information, hence they could not be allowed leave. Taking a lenient view they have been taken on work.

The workmen failed to produce the documents in reply to this letter of the management, nor Shri Jainarain appeared as witness to prove his case.

He is therefore not entitled to leaves from 17th January to 23rd January, 1973. His claim is dismissed.

*Demand No. 4*.—The representative of the management Shri Bhagirath Sharma on 14th April, 1975, made a statement that Shri Kundan Lal was not laid off on 28th April, 1973 and had been paid full wages for the day. There had been no discrimination in the matter of lay off.

In view of the said statement of the management Shri Rohtas Kumar Sharma for the workmen made a statement withdrawing the demand. Therefore the demand is dismissed as withdrawn.

*Demand No. 5*.—is regarding payment of compensation amounting to Rs. 55 to Shri Kishori Lal, worker of Fly Frame Department, Simplex No. 1-2. Shri Kishori Lal, appeared as his own witness. He stated that he was working since 1972, and worked on Simplex No. 1-2 from the very date of his appointment. In 1973 one machine was stopped in all the three shift. He did not remember in which months machine was stopped. The workers of the other shifts were paid Rs 55 as compensation and he was not paid.

In cross-examination he admitted that he is a badli worker and other workmen Sarvashri Amar Singh and Ram Saroop are permanent worker.

Shri Ramesh Mangla, MW3, appeared on behalf of the management who produced his muster roll for May, 73 and stated that Shri Kishori Lal, was employed on 12th May, 1973 as badli worker. He worked 12 days on M/c. No. 1-2 and 2 days on other M/c. in May, 1973. In June, 1973, also he worked 6 days on other machine. He worked on some days on one machine and some days on two machines. He produced a statement Ex. MW2/1 according to which the working of one machine for some days and two machines on some days continued from May, 1973 to December, 1973. In cross-examination he said that the permanent workers were paid 50% as compensation for the machine which was stopped. He denied having paid Rs. 55 lump sum to other workers.

366

It has not come on record whether he was appointed as two machine worker or one machine worker. It has been proved from muster roll that he was employed on 12th May, 1973, as badli worker. He had not worked for 240 days when one machine was kept closed during the month of May, 1973, to December, 1973. It is also not proved that Rs 55 were paid in lump sum as compensation to other two workers of other shift.

Shri Kishorilal being a badli worker of less than one year service is therefore not entitled to compensation for the stoppage of one machine.

His claim is therefore rejected.

*Demand No. 6* is regarding folding cutlooker, assistant cutlooker and weaving damage checker to designation them as Semi-Clerk and to fix their wages accordingly. In the claim statement, dated 28th March, 1975, it was claimed that Sarvshri Harikishan, Matadin folding cut looker, Sultan, Jagdish, Ramchander, Birmanand, Assistant Cut-looker, Ganeshlal, Omparkash and Ramchander, damage checker, i.e. cutlooker, assistant cutlookers and damage checker be designated as semi-clerk. During argument it was conceded by the parties that as stated in Ex. MW 8/4 Shri Ram Chander, s/o Sampat is working as bleach folder and not as assistant cut looker. Therefore his claim is not pressed. Therefore I hold that Shri Ram Chander s/o Sampat's case is dismissed as not pressed.

The workmen produced Sh. Rajpal Singh, Badher Folder, WW 9 and Ganeshlal, Pick Checker, WW10. They stated that they are to do clerical work also in addition to their duties as badher checking, pick checking and damage checking, etc.

Management contested the claim and produced Shri Prem Parkash Bhargao, MW 5 and Shri Brijoo Singh, MW 6. Shri Prem Parkash Bhargao, MW 5, stated that Shri Hari Kishan and Matadin are working as cut looker. They never worked as clerks. Sarvashri Sultan, Birmanand and Jagdish are folding workers. In cross he stated that Sultan, Birmanand and Jagdish used to take badher and note down the same. Shri Harikishan and Matadin are to show damage. Shri Birjoo Singh, MW 6, stated that Sarvshri Omparkash, Ramchander and Ganeshlal are working as pick checker in the weaving deptt. Their duty is to check the pick and to note down the same in register. MW5 in cross-examination stated that there has been no post as assistant cut looker since he joined. He had been working for last 5 years. Shri Shyam Lal, MW 10, stated there was one Sedhoo Ram working as assistant cut looker in 1965-66. There is no such post at present. In cross he admitted that there were two assistant cut looker in each shift. They were doing the work of Badher, damage and preparing report.

It has not been shown how such workers are designated in other Cotton Textiles Mills. From the evidence produced I found that their major duty is of a manual nature and clerical work of noting down is incidental.

The workmen in demand No. 2 has demanded that Sarvshri Jagdish, Barmanand and Sultan be designated as badher folder and not as Semi-Clerk.

Management has produced MW 8/4 statement the rate of wages paid to these workmen.

I therefore, hold that the workmen mentioned in the demand notice and claim statement are not to be designated as semi-clerk. The demand to the extent is rejected and dismissed.

Regarding their wages I hold that wages of cut looker and damage checker be fixed at Rs 40+8+Annual increment as per Second Wages Board plus D.A. from April, 1975. The wages of Badher folders be fixed Rs 35+8+Annual increment as per Second Wages Board plus D.A. from April, 1975.

*Demand No. 7*.—Regarding uniform, from the evidence produced by the workmen and management it is not proved that the workmen mentioned in the demand notice were given two sets of uniform each year, except mochi. I award accordingly. Mochis will be getting two uniforms and others one in a year.

*Demand No. 8* is regarding production bonus to the winders. Shri Balwan, WW6, stated that High Speed Winders were getting Rs 8/50 per month on total production of 1,000 Kgs. during a month. This was stopped in 1972.

Shri Rehtas Kumar produced Ex. WW 17-13 copy of letter, dated 15th December, 1972, complaining about the stoppage of this monthly production bonus as well as daily production bonus to the winders.

Management has produced a statement of rate of production prize up to September, 1972, which was on the basis of weekly average production, whereas from October, 1972, it was on daily basis which seems to be more favourable expect that the minimum target production is higher.

Daily prize may be achieved only by crossing the target for one day and weekly when the target is achieved for the whole week.

During the argument it was also pointed out by the management that production bonus is not a matter of right, it is given as incentive to increase the production. This scheme is better than the scheme of production bonus to the winders in T.I.T.

I therefore only recommend to add one slab i.e. on 45 Kgs. or above up to 49 Paise 25 daily from July, 75 and no other change and I award accordingly.

*Demand No. 9* is regarding Rs. 3 (three) per month from October, 1972 to Mina, son of Nathu, it is not disputed that prior to October, 1972, Shri Mina was being paid Rs. 3 as allowance.

The allowance as per management was being paid for getting the weaving production booked. This allowance was being paid to three workmen i.e. one in each shift. It is alleged that some changes were made in the duties to which they did not agree.

Management gave a written order dated 20th September, 1972 which was produced as Ex. WW 17-14. Shri Rohtas Kumar also produced copy of a letter dated 15th December, 1972 written to the management Ex. WW 17-15. The management has produced copy of their letter dated 22nd December, 1972 Ex MW 10-9 in reply to letter dated 15th December Ex WW 15.

Besides this the workmen and management produced oral evidence. During argument it is also pointed out that other two workers, namely, Sarvshri Ramdayal and Ramchander have already left the services.

The management in evidence has also brought on record that Shri Mina has left the services on 12th May, 1975 and is therefore not entitled to any relief. Considering the whole evidence and record Rs. 3 (three) were being paid as allowance for getting some extra work done. Generally if that work is not done or not taken, the workmen should not be entitled to that allowance, but in this particular case of Shri Mina I refer to the order of the management dated 20th September, 1972 Ex. MW 17/14 according to which he was asked to come in the shift from 7.00 a.m. to 4.00 p.m. and to start working as weaving machine cleaner. However, it was also mentioned that his wages will not be effected due to this change. Ex. WW 17-15 is a copy of letter No. 563 dated 5th December, 1972 addressed to the management complaining that inspite of making it clear in order dated 20th September, 1972, that his wages will not be effected, he has been paid Rs. 3 per month less than what he was getting before. The management has replied to this letter,—*vide* their letter dated 22nd December, 1972 Ex. MW 10-9 stating that as he is not doing the work of getting the weaving production booked for which Rs. 3 allowance was paid; he is not entitled to the same. He is being paid the same wages i.e. 26 as minimum basis wages excluding Rs. 8 + annual increment as other workers are getting.

During the arguments Shri Bhagirath Sharma suggested the wages mentioned in the order dated 20th September, 1972 meant only wages and does not include allowance for extra work. I am not inclined to accept this interpretation. It was not made clear that he will get only minimum wages as per other workers and not the allowance. In case this had been made clear then there would have been no dispute.

The contention of the management that he has left his service on 12th May, 1975 and cleared his account after giving a receipt of full and final settlement of his dues, does not disentitle him to the relief pending adjudication. I therefore hold that Shri Mina is entitled to Rs. 3 per month from the date payment was stopped to 12th May, 1975 when he left the services. I award accordingly.

*Demand No. 10* is regarding payment of Rs. 6 extra per month to Shri Rajinder, Yard Stamper. The workman has appeared as his witness WW 2 and documents Ex. WW 17/17 has been produced by Shri Rohtas Kumar Sharma. He has stated that he is getting Rs. 34 including Rs. 8 where as Sedhu was getting Rs. 6 more who has also been working as Yard Stamper. Shri Sham Lal Aggarwal MW 10 has stated that Shri Sedhu Ram was working as Assistant Cut Looker and not Yard Stamper and was getting Rs. 30+8 as basic pay in 1965-66.

W. W. 2 Shri Rajinder in cross-examination stated that other stampers are getting Rs. 11 extra. During argument it was pointed out that the other stampers are to stamp many items such as quality, name of the mill, rate, month of packing etc. whereas yard stamper is to stamp only number of yards already mentioned on the piece of cloth. I am of the opinion the work of yard stamping requires some more sense of duty than an ordinary unskilled workman like cleaners etc. I therefore award that yards stamper be paid from Rs. 4 per month extra i.e. 30+8+annual increment as per Second Wage Board plus D. A. from April, 75.

*Demand No. 11* is regarding wages paid less to Sarvshri Jaipal, Ram Raj, Parmanand, Chaman Lal, Om Parkash, Madan Singh and Ayudhia Prasad due to working on Terry Yarn on winding machine. Shri Jaipal has appeared as his own witness W. W. 7 and has stated that he was made to work on Terry yarn in 1972 for about 10 months, for two months he was paid average wages and thereafter on piece rate consequently got less wages.

The workman complained to management,—*vide* Exhibit W. W. 17/18 and 17/19. Management has produced statement Exhibit M. W. 4/2 giving the details of wages of Shri Jaipal. Other six workmen were

stated to have left the services prior to the demand notice. Shri Rohtas Kumar representative of the workmen does not dispute the same and has not pressed their claim. Therefore claim of the workmen other than Shri Jai Pal is dismissed as not pressed.

From the statement I found that there was no substantial fall in wages upto July, 1972. However wages are less from August, 1972 to December, 1972. In cross-examination Shri Jaipal stated that he got less wages from February, 1972 to October, 1972 but no specific month was given in the demand notice. He has worked 21 days in August, 1972, 23 in September, 25 in October, 19 in November, 1972 and 20 $\frac{1}{2}$  in December, 1972. Total 108 $\frac{1}{2}$  days from August, 1972 to December, 1972 and got Rs. 1.25 per day in average where his average wages was 1.75 for November, 1971 and December, 1971 and Rs. 1.67 $\frac{1}{2}$  per day from January, 1972 to July, 1972. Wages varies according to the production. His wages for August, 1972 to December, 1972 is less Rs.  $\frac{1}{2}$  paise per day for 108 $\frac{1}{2}$  working days. I am not allowing average wages though I award Rs 40 to be paid to him in lump sum in lieu of less wages during the period.

*Demand Nos. 12 and 13* were not pressed and given up. Therefore demand No. 12 and 13 are dismissed as not pressed.

*Demand No. 14* is regarding less wages to winders for working on 20s count instead of 22s count during October, 1973 and November, 1973 as mentioned in claim statement dated 28th March, 1975 Shri Jamadar W.W. 5 gave his statement. Management produced statement Exhibit M.W. 4/3 giving details of wages from July, 73 to November, 73. From the statement it is proved that none of the workmen mentioned worked on 20s count during October, 1973 and November, 1973. They are therefore not entitled to any relief. The demand is dismissed.

*Demand No. 15* is regarding less wages to Shri Mahadeo Terene Weaver worked on plain and cotton looms. Shri Mahadeo W.W. 8 gave his own statement. Management filed statement Exhibit M.W. 4/4 showing wages of Shri Mahadeo from August, 1973 to January, 1974. The demand was for Sarvshri Hari Singh and Ramji Lal's wages also but their claim was not pressed as per statement of Shri Rohtas Kumar dated 14th April, 1975 as Shri Hari Singh has left the services and wages of Shri Ramji Lal were not effected. The claim of Sarvshri Hari Singh and Ramji Lal is dismissed as not pressed,

Shri Mahadeo Parshad has worked 3 days in September, 1973, 6 days in October, 1973, 2 days in November, 1973, and 2 days in December, 1973 on cotton. Shri Mahadeo Pershad W. W. 8 in his statement has stated that on cotton he got about Rs. 1.25 per day for five or six months. So the period from September, 1973 to January, 1974 is correct and not 16 months as in claim statement. Shri Mahadeo worked on Terene for 17 days in October, 1973, 24 days in November, 1974, 21 days in December, 1974 and 26 days in January, 1974 i. e. 88 days on Terene from October, 1973 to January, 1974, total 101 days when he received less wages for change over from Terene to Cotton. He has claimed Rs. 50 per month. I allow him Rs. 1.25 per day for 101 days i. e. 126.25 as compensation. I award accordingly.

*Demand No. 16* is regarding Sizers wages. Shri Chiranji Lal W. W. 14 stated that Shri Kanwar Bahadur is paid Rs. 77 per month. Four Sizers are paid Rs. 50 per month including himself and rest are paid Rs. 45 per month. Shri Inder Raj and Laxman were paid Rs. 65 per month. Shri Inder Raj left the service about 1 $\frac{1}{2}$  years ago and Shri Laxman has expired. The management has produced statement of wages of Sizer Exhibit M.W. 4/5.

Shri Bhagirath Sharma representing management argued that the demand is barred by award in the matter of reference No. 65/1969 on the basis of settlement dated 7th December, 1969. The reference was regarding more relief in the matter of basic wages than the recommendations of Second Wage Board, whereas this demand is for a specific job. Without giving final decision on the point raised. I feel they deserve some consideration. Sizers job is a skilled job which differ from workmen to workmen. I therefore hold that all the sizers who are getting Rs. 50 or Rs. 45 excluding Rs. 8 and annual increment will be given an increment of Rs. 5 (five) each from April, 1975.

*Demand No. 17* is regarding wages of some Helpers from November, 1973 who are getting less wages than other Helpers. Shri Sultan Singh W.W. 15 appeared on behalf of the workmen and Shri Birjoo Singh M.W. 9 on behalf of the management. It is admitted fact that there were some helpers even prior to 1973 and they were paid on piece rate basis. In 1973 relay system of rest interval was introduced and some extra Weavers were kept to give rest interval to the workers. They are for about 2 $\frac{1}{2}$  or 3 hours daily to relieve the weaver for rest interval and for the remaining period do other duties in the department. They are paid average weaver's wages which is less than the wages of other helpers working on the duties allotted to them prior to 1973. The job of these workers kept to relieve workers for rest interval is different than other helpers and do not deserve the same wages though some incentive may be given to them as they had to do other duties also, about 5 hours daily. I, therefore, allow Rs 10 per month as allowance to such extra weavers from July, 1975.

*Demand No. 18* is regarding Rs 15 to Shri Vidya Dhar Fancy Jobber. It is demanded that previously he was working as Jobber and whenever he was transferred to work as Fancy Jobber he was paid Rs 15 in lieu of prize, which is stopped being paid to him. Shri Vidya Dhar W.W. 12 has stated that in 1962 his wages on the suggestion of Shri Prohit, Weaving Master were fixed

at Rs 110 plus Rs 15 for working as fancy jobber which he was paid till the end of 1967. Some-time in 1968, the management stopped paying Rs 15. Management has produced Ex. M.W. 7/4, 7/5, 7/6, 7/7 and 7/8.

Ex. 7/8, is a claim statement in Ref. No. 1 of 1972. Demand No. 1 was regarding wages of Shri Vidhaya Dhar. It was claimed that from November, 1967 to December, 1968 management paid him @ Rs 3.13 per day, though he was to be paid @ Rs 110 per month and also efficiency prize as per other jobber. It was further stated that management had started paying him at Rs 110 per month from January, 1970. Though he has not been paid arrears from November, 1967 to December 1968 and claimed Rs 672 including efficiency prize. M.W. 7/7 is the written statement on behalf of the management denying the claim of wages at the rate of Rs 110 plus efficiency bonus.

Ex. M.W. 7/6 is the reapplication on behalf of the workman.

Ex. M.W. 7/5 are statement of the parties setting his claim of arrears of wages. Management agreed to pay Rs 300 to Shri Vidha Dhar in full and final settlement of his claim and he accepted the offer. An award was given in terms of the settlement. A copy of the award is produced as Ex. M.W. 7/4. Nothing was specifically mentioned regarding efficiency bonus amounting to Rs 15 per month during these proceeding. The management has also produced Ex. M.W. 8/2 and 8/3 giving details of efficiency prize paid to Shri Vidha Dhar. Rs 26.54 were paid to him as efficiency bonus from January, 1965 to December, 1965, and Rs 15/15 from January, 1967 to November, 1967, which shows that he never got Rs 15 per month as efficiency bonus in a month. The highest prize paid was Rs 3.80 in July, 1965. In view of the above facts, he is not entitled to Rs 15 per month in lieu of production bonus. His claim is therefore rejected.

*Demand No. 19.*—Was regarding correspondence in Hindi. It is not pressed and given up. The demand is, therefore, dismissed as not pressed.

*Demand No. 20 and 31.*—The demand No. 20 and 31 are identical. The demand is that the bleach folders are not paid as per their production and some production is deducted causing loss of wages. Shri Ramchander bleach folder W.W. 13 appeared as witness. Shri Prem Parkash Bhargao M.W. 5 produced record of production of Bleach Folders for five months from January, 1975 to May, 1975 and also the production packed :—

Month	Booked	Packed
January, 1975	1388800	1295761
February, 1975	1298110	1258498
March, 1975	974738	933984
April, 1975	780362	800100
May, 1975	887474	890698

and explained that the production of bleach folder booked is tallied with the production packed and 5% is allowed extra for cut piece, etc. In case after adding 5% the production booked is excess than the production packed, it is reduced pro rata from the production of each bleach folder and if it is more than the same is added to their production. The production remaining unpacked in the department is also taken into consideration. This is to avoid excess booking and excess payment. From the record it is seen that the production booked in January, 1975 to March, 1975 was in excess whereas in April and May, 1975 it was less. This shows that they are not always paid less. On the other hand they are paid according to actual production. The system is quite reasonable and requires no change. The demand is therefore rejected.

*Demand No. 21.*—Is regarding casual leave to the workmen given in the demand notice. Management has produced Ex. M.W. 4-7. Sarvashri Ram Kumar S. No. 4, Rajaram S. No. 2, Mangturan S. No. 5, Hulsa S. No. 11, 12 and Budh Ram S. No. 13 had already availed seven days casual leave during the years. Therefore, their claim is rejected.

Shri Jagdish Prasae S. No. 1 will be paid one day wages in lieu of casual leave which he has not been paid in March, 1972. In the statement it is mentioned that Shri Om Datta No. 3 was not on casual leave in May, 1972 and Shri Prahlad (6) had already left the services. Therefore claim of Sarvashri Om Datta and Prahlad is rejected. Copy of letter dated 14th March, 1973 Exhibit W.W. 17-45 produced on behalf of the workmen is for casual leave to Shri Ganpat (9) on 9th March, 1973 whereas in the demand notice it is for 9th March, 1974. The statement Exhibit M.W. 4/7 shows that he has not on leave during March, 1974. His claim is therefore rejected. Exhibit W.W. 17-44 is an application of Shri Budh Ram S. No. 8, for casual leave on 2nd September 1973. He is allowed one day wages in lieu of casual leave on 2nd September, 1973.

Statement Exhibit M.W. 4/7 shows that no application of Shri Ram Ujagar (7) for casual leave on 19th July, 1973 was received. His claim is therefore also rejected.

In statement Exhibit MW 4—7 it is shown that Shri Tika Ram (10) has worked 165 days and absented for 80 and on leave for 52 days during the year. He is very irregular. It was also not brought on record that he applied for leave. His claim is also rejected.

*Demand No. 22.*—was not pressed and given up. Therefore the demand is rejected as given up.

*Demand No. 23.*—is regarding marking absent and not paying wages for the days mentioned against their names in the demand notice. Exhibit W.W. 17—57 is regarding Shri Om Parkash Serial No. 13 whereas he wrote to the management for wages for 17th February, 1973. In demand notice he has claimed for 17th December, 1973. He was not marked absent on 17th December, 1973. His claim is therefore rejected.

Exhibit W.W. 17—62 is regarding wages to Shri Ganpat (17) for the days 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 April, 72 as per oral agreement before the Labour Officer. In demand No. 23 he has claimed wages for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, April, 1973. It is also not proved that he was not paid for 1st to 5th April 1973. His claim is therefore rejected. As per statement Exhibit M.W. 4/83 Shri Ram Chander Serial No. 1 was on weekly holiday on 7th March, 1973. Shri Thandi Ram Serial No. 2 was returned on 28th May, 1972. Sarvashri Mahabir Serial No. 5, Bhuer Singh Serial No. 6, Mina Serial No. 7, Sheokaran Serial No. 11 and Bhawa Singh Serial No. 12 were present on the days mentioned against their names in the demand notice. Their claim therefore does not require any consideration and stands rejected.

During argument claim of Shri Hari Singh Serial No. 14 was not pressed and hence stands rejected.

During argument it was pointed out by the management that the workmen were marked absent as they were not found at their work place or did not do work as per instruction. However management representative was inclined to agree to pay 50 per cent of the wages to workers who were marked absence for the whole days or more than one day.

Representative of the workmen demanded 50 per cent of the wages to the workmen who were marked absent even for half day also. According to the demand notice Sarvshri:—

- (1) Shau Lal Serial No. 3 was marked  $\frac{1}{2}$  day on 16th May, 1972
- (2) Laxmi Narain Serial No. 4 , ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  day on 4th June, 1972
- (3) Budh Ram Serial No. 8 , ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  day on 4th December, 1972
- (4) Ditto 9 , ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  day on 3rd January, 1971
- (5) Banwari Lal Serial No. 10 , , 1 day on 12th May, 1971
- (6) Satnaraian Serial No. 15 , ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  day on 17th March, 1973
- (7) Janak Singh No. 16 , , 1 day on 22nd March, 1973
- (8) Ram Karhash Serial No. 18, , 6 days on differ days.

I reject the claim of Shri Budh Ram Serial No. 9 for half day on 3rd January, 1971 and of Shri Banwari Serial No. 10 for one day on 12th May, 1971 being old for more than 3 years from the date of demand notice, rest will get 50 per cent of their wages for the absence on the days mentioned against their names and I award accordingly.

*Demand No. 24.*—is regarding change in wages rates of weavers mentioned in the list. No evidence has been produced. The demand is vague as no month, year or specific period is mentioned. The workmen have produced Exhibit W.W. 17—70 and 71. Exhibit W.W. 17—70 is a copy of letter dated 11th January, 1972 and Exhibit W.W. 17—71 is a list similar to this list attached to the demand notice. Management has produced Exhibit M.W. 10/34 which is original letter dated 11th January, 1972 and Exhibit M.W. 10/33 is the reply dated 15th March, 1975 denying the receipt of the list said to have been attached to letter dated 11th January, 1972. These documents have not clarified the demand. The demand is therefore rejected as being vague and without any evidence on record.

*Demand No. 25.*—is regarding leaves with wages to the workmen mentioned in the list attached to the demand notice. The workmen produced Exhibit W.W. 17—72 to 105 correspondence and complaints regarding due leaves to the workmen.

The management produced statement Exhibit M.W. 4—10, 11 and 15 give details of record of the workmen during the years in question. Due leaves are paid under Section 79 of the Factories Act 1948 on working 240 days during the preceding year. 240 days are to be computed as given

371

in explanation 1 to sections 79 (1) according to which (1) any days of lay off, (2) days of maternity leave upto twelve weeks to female workers and (3) leave earned in the years prior to that in which leaves are enjoyed are deemed to have been days of work for the purpose of computed 240 days under the Act.

Shri Ram Sukh Serial No. 10 is not traceable in the service record of the mills and he has not appeared himself. Sarvshri Nathu Serial No. 5 and Mina Serial No. 12 have already been paid wages for the days of leave demanded.

Sarvshri Keshardeo S. No. 1, Devl Dayal S. No. 6 and phulchand S. No. 9 has left the service before that date of the demand notice. Sarvshri Awadh Behari S. No. 2, Jamadar S. No. 3, Hardwari S. No. 4, Chiranji Lal S. No. 7, Banwari S. No. 8 and Hanuman S. No. 11 have not worked for 240 days under the factories Act during the years and as such they are not entitled to leaves with wages. The demand of all the twelve workmen is rejected for the reasons given above. A list of 10 workers is also given for the year 1973. Sarvshri Ram Saroop S. No. 2 and Hari Singh S. No. 6 have already been paid for the leave due to them. Sarvshri Ram Nath S. No. 1, Manohar S. No. 3, Mahipal S. No. 4, Om Parkash S. No. 5, Choteylal S. No. 7, Ram kailash S. No. 8, phulu S. No. 9 and Raghbir S. No. 10 have not worked for 240 days during the year as computed under the Factories Act and they are therefore not entitled to leave with wages. The demand is therefore rejected for the reasons given above.

*Demand No. 26.*—Is regarding making the workmen given the list attached to the demand notice as permanent. The demand was mutually settled. Parties made their statement on 24th June, 1975.

*The details are as under:*—Sarvshri Kishan Lal S. No. (1), Jagdish S. No. (11) Jagdish (21), Suraj Bhan (26) Amichand (27), Ramchander 29, Sispal (38), Mani Ram (51), Gopi Ram 52 have already been made permanent and hence their claim has already been settled and no dispute is pending.

Sarvshri Thundi Ram S. No. 14, Ram Dulae 15, Rameshwar 47, Hari Singh 58, parmeshwar 63, Banarsi 69, Hari Singh 83 and Sagarmal 85 have already left the services and question of making them permanent does not arise. The demand is therefore rejected. Sarvshri Sarjit S. No. 3, Jagat Singh (6), Omparkash (7), Ranjit 10, Sita Ram 12, Sharda Prashad 13, Jairam 16, Dalip Singh, 17, Janak Singh 20, Budhram 25, Jagdish 28, Ramkumar 31, Gopi Ram 33, Kaseria 34, Sheodan 35, Omparkash 36, Dharam Pal 40, Mai Lal 44, Ramji Lal 45, Deo Narain 46, Ramdas 48, Ramji Lal 49, Ramniw No. 50, Omparkash 56, Vedparkash 57, Mahadeo 59, Rambilas 60, Niranjan 61, Sambhu 64, Ram Dyal 65, Siaram 66, parasnath 70, Raghbir 71, Phool Chand 72, Hazari 73, Budhram 74, Kanshiram 76, Ramsaroop 80, Ramkumar 86 and Kishan 88 do not qualify to be made permanent. Their claim is not pressed and hence is rejected. I award accordingly regarding the above said workmen

Sarvshri Dhani Ram S. No. 2, Jawahar Lal No. 4, Amar Singh No. 5, Sultan No. 8, Birmanand No. 9, Sant Lal No. 18 Kishan Kumar 19, Mangtoo No. 22, Babalal No. 23, Rajdin No. 30, Ram Kumar No. 32, Satbir 39, Malaran 68, Churamani 75, Subhash Chandar 77, Mangu Singh 78 and Shiv Ram 79 will be made permanent from 1st July, 1975. I award accordingly.

Sarvshri Sanwat Singh 24, Sukhpal 37 & 87, Dharam Pal 41, Ram Saroop 42, Chintaman 43, Nathu 53, Omdatta 54, Kali Ram 55, Sat Narain 62, Chiranji Lal 67, Ved Ram, 81, Tula Ram 82 and Lalta Pershad 84 shall be made as on probation for 3 months from July, 1975 to September, 1975. In case whose production is not found satisfactory, he will be issued show cause notice, explanation will be called and inquiry will be held. He will be reverted back as badli if his production is found unsatisfactory after inquiry or they will automatically be permanent thereafter i. e. September, 1975. I award accordingly.

*Demand No. 27.*—Is regarding unjustified return of the workmen mentioned in the list attached to the demand notice, the demand was not pressed and withdrawn.

The demand is therefore dismissed as withdrawn.

*Demand No. 28* is regarding unjustified suspension of the workmen on the dates mentioned against their names in the list attached to the demand notice. Parties came to a mutual settlement and made statement on 24th June, 1975. The demand is settled as given below:

Management agree to pay one day wages each to Sarvshri Omparkash S. No. 8, Ganpat S. No. 9, Khuman 14, Jaisligh 26, Shriram 35, Balbir 40, and Pamkumar 41, and two days wages to each Sarvashri Banwari 23, Hirralal 25, Bishambhar 30, and Banarsi 43 in full and final settlement of their claim in respect of wages for the days of suspension mentioned against their names in the list attached to the demand notice.

Sarvshri Tansukh 5, Tula Ram 7, Beshambhar 10, Ganpat 18, Hazari 24, Ambika Prasad 32, Nem Chand 37 and Balwant 49 were not suspended on the days mentioned against their names in the list, the question of wages does not arise.

372

Sarvshri Prahlad Singh S. No. 1, Munshi Ram 11, Juttaram 12, Dharam Singh 15, Dharam Singh 16, Barjoo 27, Munshi Ram 48, Rai Singh 51 and Raja Ram 52 have left the services and their claim is not pressed. Sarvshri Sugan Lal No. 2, Jagdish 3, Umda 4, Shri Ram 6, Madan Lal 13, Ramotar 17, Ram Kumar 19, Harchand 20, Sadhu Ram 21, Matu Ram 22, Ram Chander 28, Ganpat 29, Harchand 31, Bhimraj 33, Karan Singh 34, Siya Ram 36, Khuman 38, Rambali 39, Bhagmal 42, Banwari 44, Rambali 45, Tula Ram 46, Sher Singh 47 and Ramji Lal 50 were suspended for reasonable cause and their claim is not pressed is withdrawn.

I award accordingly as stated above.

*Demand No. 29.* is regarding for marking full attendance and wages on the days mentioned against the names of the workmen given in the list attached to the demand notice. The demand is similar to demand No. 23. The workmen has produced documents marked Exhibit W.W. 17-124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 131 and 134. The management produced statement Exhibit M.W. 4/12. Sarvshri Budhram S. No. 2 and Harisingh No. 6 have already left the services. Hence their claim is rejected. Sarvshri Ram Bali No. 1 was marked absent for half on 6th December, 1973 Ramotar No. 3 half day on 27th September, 1973, Sultan Singh No. 4 full day on 25th August, 1973, Sohanlal No. 5, full day on 22nd July, 1973 and 1st August, 1973, Sohanlal No. 9, 16th May, 1973, Dindayal S. No. 8 half day on 29th May, 1973. They shall be paid 50% of their wages for the absence on the days mentioned against their names in full and final settlement of their claims in the demand notice. No record was given in respect of Shri Juhar Lal S. No. 7 for absence on 17th January, 1973 and Shri Bhagwan S. No. 10 on 20th April, 1973. They shall also be paid 50% of the wages for the days mentioned against their names, in case they have been marked absent, not already paid and are in services at present.

I award accordingly.

*Demand No. 30.* is regarding rates of wages of Shri Bahadur Carpenter. It is admitted by M.W. 1 Shri R. C. Jain that Shri Bahadur Singh works as carpenter in Spg. Department and is paid Rs. 26/- per month. Shri Bahadur W. W. 1 appeared as his own witness. In examination in Chief he stated that he is doing repairing work of carpentry. He is getting Rs. 26/- p.m. Shri Harchand was getting Rs. 55/- per month who was working before me. Shri Bahadur also stated that he worked in his place when Shri Harchand went on leave but he (Bahadur) was paid at Rs. 26 per month only. In cross examination he admitted that he never did painting work which Harchand was doing. He also admitted that other workers who are doing repair work as carpenter are paid Rs. 40/- per month.

I, therefore, award that Shri Bahadur will be paid at Rs. 40 (forty) only per month excluding Rs. 8/- plus annual increment as per Second Wages Board and D.A. from April, 1975.

In the end I thank parties for the co-operation during the proceeding.

Place: Bhiwani.

Dated the 3rd July, 1975.

(Sd.) . . . . ,

N. M. JAIN.

Factory Manager, T.I.T.  
Bhiwani, Arbitrator.

M. SETH, Com. & Secy.

#### EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

The 17th July, 1975

No. 7069-Edu. II-(6E)/75/22034.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to constitute a State Organising Committee for Nehru Yuval Kendras for a period of two years from the date of issue of this notification. The Committee will consist of the following as its Chairman, Member-Secretary and Members—

(1) Education Minister/State Minister for Education, Haryana. Chairman

*Note:*—If the Education Minister is unable to preside over any meeting of this committee, the State Minister for Education will preside over that meeting.

(2) Director of Public Instruction, Haryana. Member-Secretary

(3) Director of Industries, Haryana. Member

(4) Director of Public Relations, Haryana. Member

(5) Three representatives of Government of India, Ministry of Education & Social Welfare who will be appointed later on. Members

2. The Committee will perform the following functions:—

- (i) to determine the broad organisational framework of the Nehru Yuvak Kendras;
- (ii) to lay down the guidelines for the activities of these Kendras;
- (iii) to co-ordinate the programme at the State level;
- (iv) to evaluate the work done at these Kendras; and
- (v) to take follow up action.

3. The Headquarters of the Committee will be at Chandigarh.

4. The members of this Committee will draw their T. A./D. A. in accordance with the instructions contained in Chief Secretary to Government, Haryana, U. O. No. 670-Pol-(4)-72/9688, dated 4th April, 1972 for attending its meeting. The official members will get their T. A./D. A. from their respective departments.

5. This issues with the concurrence of the Finance Department,—*vide* their U. O. advice No. 5691-3FD-II-73, dated 13th December, 1973.

B. S. OJHA, Comm. and Secy.

#### DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYAT DEPARTMENT

The 29th July, 1975

No. 3617-IECDI-75 5683.—Shri Deep Chand Sharma, Agriculture Inspector will hold the current charge of the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Pinjore Block, temporarily in addition to his own duties without extra allowances till the Block Development and Parchayat Officer is posted in that Block.

While holding the charge, the aforementioned officer shall exercise the powers of Drawing, Disbursing and Controlling Officer in respect of the Pinjore Block.

R. ISSAR, Dy. Secy.

#### PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

(PUBLIC HEALTH BRANCH)

##### CORRIGENDUM

The 18th July, 1975

No. 7172-PWIII(I)-75/24001.—The word “SAGWAN” appearing against the name at serial No. 6 in the notification issued,—*vide* Haryana Government No. 2053-PWIII (I)-75/6885, dated 26th February, 1975 issued on 4th March, 1975, may be read as “SANGWAN”.

A. BANERJEE,

for Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Public Works Department, Public Health Branch..

(BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH)

The 23rd July, 1975

No. 140.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land specified below is needed by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for the construction of a road from Jind-Gohana Road to Nidana (M. C. Road), it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below, is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provision of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provision of section 7 of the said act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt. is hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Executive Engineer, Jind Provincial Division, Jind, and Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Jind	Jind	Nidana	0.49	28 8, 13, 14, 17, 18, 23, 24/1, 24/2
				39 3, 4, 8/1, 8/2, 13/1, 13/2, 18, 19/1,
				39 22, 23
				45 2, 3, 8, 9, 12, 13, 19/1, 19/2, 154 856/1, 856/2, 858/3, 859 to 864, 613 to 617, 621, 622
			Total 0.49	

No. 141.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land specified below is needed by Government, at the public expenses, for a public purpose, namely, for the construction of a road from Jind-Bhiwani road to Bhabalpur road, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt, is hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Executive Engineer, Jind Provincial Division, Jind and Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Jind	Jind	Ghimana	1.41	76 2/2, 3/1, 3/2, 8, 4, 7, 6/1, 6/2, 162, 161
				74 21, 22
				75 11, 12, 18, 19, 25, 117, 119, 120, 123, 124, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 134, 136, 137, 140, 141, 144, 145, 148, 149, 151, 152, 153, 154, 157, 158, 159, 177, 183, 184, 192, 193, 165, 10/11, 10/12, 10/19, 312 rasta, 18/1, 18/12, 18/14, 18/15, 367 rasta, 17/1, 17/7, 368 rasta, 17/8, 17/9, 17/10, 369, 24/48, 24/44, 370 rasta, 25/1, 25/2, 25/3, 371 rasta, 25/36, 25/37, 25/38, 25/39, 372 rasta

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Jind	Jind	Ghimana—concl'd		82
				1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22, 166, 357
				90
				1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12
Do	Do	Behbalpur	1.37	2
				11, 20/1, 20/2, 19, 21, 22
				10
				1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19 to 22
				14
				1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21/1, 21/2, 22
				24
				1/1, 1/2, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12/1, 19/2, 20, 21, 22
				29
				1/2, 2, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 20, 21/1, 21/2, 22/1
				38
				1, 2/1, 2/2, 9, 10, 137, 136, 138 Rasta
		Total	2.78	

The 24th July, 1975

No. 142.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land specified below is needed by Government, at the public expenses, for a public purpose, namely, for the construction of a road from Safidon to Todi Kheri road, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt. is hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Executive Engineer, Jind, Provincial Division, Jind and the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B.&R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

#### SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Jind	Safidon	Safidon	0.90	62
				61
				49
				5/1, 5/2, 4, 6, 7
				1
				25
				50
				4/2, 5/1, 5/2/1, 5/2/2, 6, 7/1, 7/2, 8

326

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Jind	Safidon	Safido— concld		50
			12, 13/1, 13/2, 14/2, 18, 19/1, 19/2,	
			50	51 31
			20, 21/1, 21/2, 22	1/1, 1/2 25
			30	
			4, 7/1, 7/2, 14, 8/2, 13/1, 13/2, 12/2,	
			30	
			466, 18, 19/2, 19/1, 20/1, 468, 22/1, 21/1,	
			30	
			21/2, 284, 457	
Do	Do	Todi Kheri	0.79	36
			5/1, 5/2, 6, 7/1, 7/2, 8, 14, 13, 12, 18,	
			36	
			19/1, 19/2, 20, 21/1, 21/2, 22, 88, 89	
			32 33 37 46	
			21 25 1, 71 5/1, 5/2, 6	
		Total	1.69	

No. 143.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land specified below is needed by Government, at the public expenses, for a public purpose, namely, for the construction of a road from Jind-Gohana road to village Radhana, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provision of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector Haryana, P.W.D., B.&R. Branch Ambala, Cantt. is hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the office of the Executive Engineer, Jind Provincial Division, Jind and Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Jind	Jind	Radhana	1.48	2
			17, 18, 23, 24	7
			3, 4, 7, 8, 13, 14/1, 14/2, 17, 18, 23, 24	

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Jind—concl <sup>d</sup>	Jind—concl <sup>d</sup>	Radhana—concl <sup>d</sup>		12
			3, 4, 7, 8/1, 8/2, 13, 14/1, 17/2, 18, 23, 24, 290	
				22
			3, 4, 7, 8/1, 8/2, 14/1, 14/2/1, 14/2/2, 25, 17, 18/1, 23, 24	
				28
			3, 4, 7, 8, 13, 14, 17, 18, 23, 24	
				41
			3, 4, 276, 7, 8, 13/2, 14, 273, 190, 18, 192, 23/1 to 29	
				1.48

No. 144.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land Specified below is need by Government, at the public expenses, for a public purpose, namely, for the construction of a Road from Jind-Gohana road to village Barah Khurd, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provision of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern and under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt., is hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the office of the Executive Engineer, Jind Provincial Division, Jind, and Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Khasra Nos.
Jind	Jind	Radhana	0.09	16
			12/1, 12/2, 13	
Do	Do	Barahkhurd	0.75	120
			3, 4/1, 4/2, 5, 7, 8/1, 8/3, 9, 12	
			107	
			16, 24, 25/1, 25/2	
			108	
			3, 4/1, 4/2, 5, 7, 8/1, 8/2, 9, 12/1, 12/2, 20/1, 20/2, 21, 13	
			98	24
			16/2	25/1, 25/2
			97	
			19, 20, 21, 472, 149, rasta main, 580	
		Total	0.84	

378

No. 147.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for constructing Road from Manauli to Khurampur, road in district Sonepat, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may within 30 days of the publication of this notification, file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

#### SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Sonepat	Sonepat	Manauli	4.43	From north-west side to south-east corner.
Do	Do	(0 to 4825)		A strip of land R. D. 0. to 4825 in length
		Khurampur	.60	having width generally lying on the
		(4826 to 5535)		direction of south to east with Katcha path and running through the fields of a
		Total	5.03	landowner as shown on the index plan and demarcated at site.

No. 148.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be required to be taken by Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, constructing link road from Kailana Bridge road to Tevri Road in district Sonepat, it is hereby notified that the land in the locality described below is likely to be required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any kind in the locality may within 30 days of the publication of this notification, file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana P. W. D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

#### SPECIFICATIONS

District	Tehsil	Locality	Area in acres	Remarks
Sonepat	Sonepat	Mahamadpur Majra	6.80	A strip of land R. D. 0 to 13,120 in
		(0 to 7,400)		length having 40' width generally lying on
Do	Do	Tevri	5.25	the direction from south-west-north
		(7,400 to 13,120)		corner along with Katchapath and running
		Total	12.05	through field of land-owner as on the
				index plan and demarcated at site.

(Sd) . . . ,

Superintending Engineer,  
Jind Circle, P.W.D., (B.&R.), Jind.